

APPLICANT: Scholefield  
SERIAL NO: 09/841,421  
PAGE: 6

from, the interior of the building, the outer door being provided with a digital computerized locking system operable by pre-arranged single-use code numbers.

17. A delivery box according to claim 16, having projections which in use are secured in seams to the wall.
18. A delivery box according to claim 16, wherein the outer door is substantially flush with the exterior surface of the wall.
19. A delivery box according to claim 16, wherein the outer door has a letter deposit flap.
20. A delivery box according to claim 19, wherein the flap opens into a receptacle mounted on the back of said door. ....
21. A delivery box according to claim 16, wherein the outer door has a deposit drawer.
22. A delivery box according to claim 16, having a connection to a mains electricity supply.
23. A delivery box according to claim 22, which includes a chilled storage compartment.

APPLICANT: Scholefield  
SERIAL NO: 09/841,421  
PAGE: 7

24. A delivery box according to claim 1, which includes a frozen storage compartment.
25. A delivery box according to claim 16, having a connection to an alarm system.
26. A method of delivering articles to a building equipped with a delivery box for accepting the articles which is built into an external wall of the building and has an outer door with an automatic locking system which is operable by a single-use access code, a compartment for storing the articles, and a lockable rear door for accessing the stored articles from inside the building comprising inserting a single-use code into the locking system, opening the outer door, placing the articles to be delivered in the compartment, closing the outer door so that said door automatically locks and cancels the code used for opening the outer door, opening the rear door from within the building, removing the articles from the compartment, and programming the locking system of the outer door with a new code.--

#### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-15 in the case are pending and stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9, and 13-15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Gillard. Claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by McSweeney. Claims 1-6 and 9-12 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Plunkett in view of